

**Aggregation procedure  
and fuzzy relation properties  
based on binary operations**

**Urszula Bentkowska and Anna Król**

University of Rzeszów  
Poland

FSTA 2014, 27.01.2014

# Contents

- Preliminaries
  - aggregation functions
  - domination
  - fuzzy relations
- Preservation of fuzzy relation properties
  - $B$ -asymmetry and  $B$ -antisymmetry
  - total  $B$ -connectedness and  $B$ -connectedness
  - $B$ -transitivity and negative  $B$ -transitivity
  - $B_1$ - $B_2$ -semitransitivity and  $B_1$ - $B_2$ -Ferrers property

## Preliminaries

**Definition 1** (cf. T. Calvo et al.<sup>1</sup>). Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . A function  $A : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  which is increasing, i.e.

$$A(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq A(y_1, \dots, y_n) \quad \text{for } x_i, y_i \in [0, 1], x_i \leq y_i, i = 1, \dots, n$$

is called an aggregation function if

$$A(0, \dots, 0) = 0, \quad A(1, \dots, 1) = 1.$$

**Example 1.** Let  $t_1, \dots, t_n, w_1, \dots, w_n \in [0, 1]$ . Aggregation functions are:

- the weighted minimum

$$F(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \max(1 - w_k, t_k), \quad \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} w_k = 1,$$

- the weighted maximum

$$F(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \min(w_k, t_k), \quad \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} w_k = 1,$$

---

<sup>1</sup>T. Calvo, A. Kolesárová, M. Komorníková and R. Mesiar, Aggregation operators: properties, classes and construction methods, In T. Calvo, G. Mayor and R. Mesiar, eds., *Aggregation Operators vol. 97: Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing*, pp. 3–104, Physica-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2002

- the median value

$$\text{med}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{s_k + s_{k+1}}{2}, & \text{for } n = 2k \\ s_{k+1}, & \text{for } n = 2k + 1 \end{cases},$$

where  $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  is the increasingly ordered sequence of the values  $t_1, \dots, t_n$ , i.e.  $s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_n$ .

Other examples of aggregation functions are:

- geometric mean

$$G(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sqrt[n]{x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n},$$

- weighted means

$$A_w(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n w_k x_k, \quad \text{for } w_k > 0, \sum_{k=1}^n w_k = 1,$$

- quasi-arithmetic means

$$M_\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi(x_k)\right),$$

- quasi-linear means

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \varphi^{-1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n w_k \varphi(x_k)\right), \quad \text{for } w_k > 0, \sum_{k=1}^n w_k = 1,$$

where  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous, strictly increasing function.

**Definition 2.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We say that a function  $F : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ :

- has a zero element  $z \in [0, 1]$  if for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and each  $x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n \in [0, 1]$  one has

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, z, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) = z,$$

- is without zero divisors if

$$\forall_{x_1, \dots, x_n \in [0, 1]} (F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = z \Rightarrow (\exists_{1 \leq k \leq n} x_k = z)).$$

**Definition 3** (Drewniak, Król 2010<sup>2</sup>). An operation  $C : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a fuzzy conjunction if it is increasing with respect to each variable and

$$C(1, 1) = 1, \quad C(0, 0) = C(0, 1) = C(1, 0) = 0.$$

---

<sup>2</sup>J. Drewniak, A. Król, A survey of weak connectives and the preservation of their properties by aggregations, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 161 (2010), 202–215.

An operation  $D : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a fuzzy disjunction if it is increasing with respect to each variable and

$$D(0, 0) = 0, \quad D(1, 1) = D(0, 1) = D(1, 0) = 1.$$

**Corollary 1.** *A fuzzy conjunction has a zero element 0. A fuzzy disjunction has a zero element 1.*

**Example 2.** Consider the following family of fuzzy conjunctions for  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$

$$C^\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = y = 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0. \\ \alpha & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Operations  $C^0$  and  $C^1$  are the least and the greatest fuzzy conjunction, respectively.

A fuzzy conjunction  $C^\alpha$  has no zero divisors if and only if  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ .

Other examples of fuzzy conjunction without zero divisors are:

$$C(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = y = 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0, \\ x & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad C(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = y = 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0. \\ y & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Definition 4** (E. P. Klement et al.<sup>3</sup>). A triangular norm  $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  (triangular conorm  $S : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ) is an arbitrary associative, commutative, increasing in each variable operation having a neutral element  $e = 1$  ( $e = 0$ ).

**Corollary 2.** A triangular norm (conorm) has a zero element  $z = 0$  ( $z = 1$ ).

**Example 3** (E. P. Klement et al.<sup>3</sup>). The four well-known examples of t-norms  $T$  and corresponding t-conorms  $S$  are:

$$T_M(s, t) = \min(s, t),$$

$$T_P(s, t) = st,$$

$$S_M(s, t) = \max(s, t),$$

$$S_P(s, t) = s + t - st,$$

$$T_L(s, t) = \max(s + t - 1, 0),$$

$$S_L(s, t) = \min(s + t, 1),$$

$$T_D(s, t) = \begin{cases} s, & t = 1 \\ t, & s = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad S_D(s, t) = \begin{cases} s, & t = 0 \\ t, & s = 0 \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for  $s, t \in [0, 1]$ .

---

<sup>3</sup>E.P. Klement, R. Mesiar and E. Pap, *Triangular Norms*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000

**Definition 5** (E. P. Klement et al.<sup>3</sup>). A strict t-norm  $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a t-norm which is continuous and strictly increasing in  $(0, 1]^2$ .

**Theorem 1** (J. Fodor, M. Roubens<sup>4</sup>). Any strict t-norm  $T$  is a function isomorphic to the product t-norm  $T_P$ , i.e.

$$T(x_1, x_2) = \varphi^{-1}(T_P(\varphi(x_1), \varphi(x_2))), \quad x_1, x_2 \in [0, 1],$$

where  $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is an increasing bijection.

**Corollary 3.** Triangular norms:  $\min$ ,  $T_P$ , strict t-norms are functions without zero divisors.

**Definition 6** (T. Calvo et al.<sup>5</sup>). Let  $F : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . A function  $F^d$  is called a dual function to  $F$ , if for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in [0, 1]$

$$F^d(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1 - F(1 - x_1, \dots, 1 - x_n).$$

$F$  is called a self-dual function, if it holds  $F = F^d$ .

---

<sup>4</sup>J. Fodor, M. Roubens, *Fuzzy Preference Modelling and Multicriteria Decision Support*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1994

<sup>5</sup>T. Calvo, A. Kolesárová, M. Komorníková and R. Mesiar, Aggregation operators: properties, classes and construction methods, In T. Calvo, G. Mayor and R. Mesiar, eds., *Aggregation Operators vol. 97: Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing*, pp. 3–104, Physica-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2002



## Domination

**Definition 7** (cf. B. Schweizer, A. Sklar<sup>6</sup>). Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . A function  $F: [0, 1]^m \rightarrow [0, 1]$  dominates function  $G: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  ( $F \gg G$ ) if for an arbitrary matrix  $[a_{ik}] = A \in [0, 1]^{m \times n}$  the following inequality holds

$$F(G(a_{11}, \dots, a_{1n}), \dots, G(a_{m1}, \dots, a_{mn})) \geq G(F(a_{11}, \dots, a_{m1}), \dots, F(a_{1n}, \dots, a_{mn})).$$

**Theorem 2** (cf. S. Saminger et al.<sup>7</sup>). A function  $F: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , which is increasing in each of its arguments dominates minimum if and only if for each  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in [0, 1]$

$$F(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \min(f_1(t_1), \dots, f_n(t_n)),$$

where  $f_k: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is increasing with  $k = 1, \dots, n$ .

---

<sup>6</sup>B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, Probabilistic metric spaces, North Holland, New York, 1983.

<sup>7</sup>S. Saminger, R. Mesiar and U. Bodenhofer, Domination of aggregation operators and preservation of transitivity, *Internat. J. Uncertain., Fuzziness, Knowl.-Based Syst.*, 10(Suppl.):11–35, World Scientific, 2002

**Example 4** (cf. J. Drewniak, U. Dudziak<sup>8</sup>, cf. S. Saminger et al.<sup>9</sup>). The weighted geometric mean dominates t-norm  $T_P$ . The weighted arithmetic mean dominates t-norm  $T_L$ . The function

$$F(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \frac{p}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n t_k + (1-p) \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} t_k \quad (1)$$

dominates  $T_L$ , where  $p \in (0, 1)$ . The weighted minimum dominates every t-norm  $T$ . Let us consider projections  $P_k$ . Then  $F \gg P_k$  and  $P_k \gg F$  for any function  $F : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . Minimum dominates any fuzzy conjunction.

---

<sup>8</sup>J. Drewniak, U. Dudziak, Preservation of properties of fuzzy relations during aggregation processes, *Kybernetika*, 43(2):115–132, Institute of Information Theory and Automation Academy of Sciences of Czech Republic, 2007

<sup>9</sup>S. Saminger, R. Mesiar and U. Bodenhofer, Domination of aggregation operators and preservation of transitivity, *Internat. J. Uncertain., Fuzziness, Knowl.-Based Syst.*, 10(Suppl.):11–35, World Scientific, 2002

**Lemma 1** (J. Drewniak, A. Król<sup>10</sup>). *Let  $F : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $G : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . If  $F \gg G$ , then  $G^d \gg F^d$ .*

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $F : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $T$  be a  $t$ -norm,  $S$  be a corresponding dual  $t$ -conorm,  $C$  be a fuzzy conjunction and  $D$  be a corresponding dual fuzzy disjunction. If  $F \gg T$ , then  $S \gg F^d$ . If  $F \gg C$ , then  $D \gg F^d$ .*

**Example 5.** The weighted arithmetic mean are dominated by  $S_L$  and the weighted maximum is dominated by any  $t$ -conorm  $S$ . Moreover, the function

$$F(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \frac{p}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n t_k + (1 - p) \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} t_k \quad (2)$$

is dominated by  $S_L$ , where  $p \in (0, 1)$ .

---

<sup>10</sup>J. Drewniak and A. Król, On the problem of domination between triangular norms and conorms, *Journal of Electrical Engineering*, 56(12/s):59–61, Slovak Centre of IEE, 2005

A function  $F: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , which is increasing in each of its arguments is dominated by maximum if and only if for each  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in [0, 1]$

$$F(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \max(f_1(t_1), \dots, f_n(t_n)),$$

where  $f_k: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is increasing with  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Examples of such functions are:

if  $f_k(t) = t$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , then  $F = \max$ ,

if for a certain  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , function  $f_k(t) = t$  and  $f_i(t) = 1$  for  $i \neq k$ , then  $F = P_k$   
- projections, if  $f_k(t) = \min(v_k, t)$ ,  $v_k \in [0, 1]$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} v_k = 1$ , then  $F$  is

the weighted maximum.

## Fuzzy relations

**Definition 8** (L.A. Zadeh<sup>11</sup>). A fuzzy relation on a set  $X \neq \emptyset$  is an arbitrary function  $R : X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . The family of all fuzzy relations on  $X$  is denoted by  $FR(X)$ .

**Definition 9** (cf. J. Fodor, M. Roubens<sup>12</sup>). Let  $B, B_1, B_2 : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be binary operations. Relation  $R \in FR(X)$  is:

- $B$ -asymmetric, if  $\forall_{x, y \in X} B(R(x, y), R(y, x)) = 0$ ,

- $B$ -antisymmetric, if

$$\forall_{x, y, x \neq y \in X} B(R(x, y), R(y, x)) = 0,$$

- totally  $B$ -connected, if

$$\forall_{x, y \in X} B(R(x, y), R(y, x)) = 1,$$

- $B$ -connected, if  $\forall_{x, y, x \neq y \in X} B(R(x, y), R(y, x)) = 1$ ,

- $B$ -transitive, if

$$\forall_{x, y, z \in X} B(R(x, y), R(y, z)) \leq R(x, z),$$

---

<sup>11</sup>L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Inform. Control*, 8:338–353, Elsevier, 1965

<sup>12</sup>J. Fodor, M. Roubens, *Fuzzy Preference Modelling and Multicriteria Decision Support*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1994

- negatively  $B$ -transitive, if
 
$$\forall_{x,y,z \in X} B(R(x,y), R(y,z)) \geq R(x,z),$$
- $B_1$ - $B_2$ -Ferrers, if
 
$$\forall_{x,y,z,w \in X} B_1(R(x,y), R(z,w)) \leq B_2(R(x,w), R(z,y)),$$
- $B_1$ - $B_2$ -semitransitive, if
 
$$\forall_{x,y,z,w \in X} B_1(R(x,w), R(w,y)) \leq B_2(R(x,z), R(z,y)).$$

**Definition 10** (S. Saminger et al.<sup>13</sup>). Let  $F : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $R_1, \dots, R_n \in FR(X)$ . An aggregated fuzzy relation  $R_F \in FR(X)$  is described by the formula

$$R_F(x, y) = F(R_1(x, y), \dots, R_n(x, y)), \quad x, y \in X.$$

A function  $F$  preserves a property of fuzzy relations if for every  $R_1, \dots, R_n \in FR(X)$  having this property,  $R_F$  also has this property.

---

<sup>13</sup>S. Saminger, R. Mesiar and U. Bodenhofer, Domination of aggregation operators and preservation of transitivity, *Internat. J. Uncertain., Fuzziness, Knowl.-Based Syst.*, 10(Suppl.):11–35, World Scientific, 2002

## Preservation of fuzzy relation properties

**Theorem 3** (cf. J. Drewniak, U. Dudziak<sup>14</sup>). *Let card  $X \geq 2$ ,  $B$  have a zero element 0 and be without zero divisors. A function  $F$  preserves  $B$ -asymmetry ( $B$ -antisymmetry) if and only if it satisfies the following condition for all  $s, t \in [0, 1]^n$*

$$\bigvee_{1 \leq k \leq n} \min(s_k, t_k) = 0 \Rightarrow \min(F(s), F(t)) = 0. \quad (3)$$

**Example 6** (cf. J. Drewniak, U. Dudziak<sup>14</sup>). Let  $B$  be a fuzzy conjunction without zero divisors (e.g. a strict  $t$ -norm). The function  $F = \min$  preserves  $B$ -asymmetry ( $B$ -antisymmetry). Functions  $F$  which has the zero element  $z = 0$  with respect to certain coordinate, i.e.

$$\exists_{1 \leq k \leq n} \bigvee_{i \neq k} \bigvee_{t_i \in [0,1]} F(t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}, 0, t_{k+1}, \dots, t_n) = 0$$

fulfil (3), so they preserve  $B$ -asymmetry ( $B$ -antisymmetry). In particular, the weighted geometric mean fulfil (3). As another example we may consider the median function. If a function  $F$  fulfils the following condition then we also get (3).

$$\bigvee_{t \in [0,1]^n} \text{card}\{k : t_k = 0\} > \frac{n}{2} \Rightarrow F(t) = 0. \quad (4)$$

---

<sup>14</sup>J. Drewniak, U. Dudziak, Preservation of properties of fuzzy relations during aggregation processes, *Kybernetika*, 43(2):115–132, 2007

**Theorem 4** (cf. J. Drewniak, U. Dudziak<sup>15</sup>). *Let card  $X \geq 2$ ,  $B$  have a zero element 1 and be without zero divisors. A function  $F$  preserves total  $B$ -connectedness ( $B$ -connectedness) if and only if it satisfies the following condition for all  $s, t \in [0, 1]^n$*

$$\bigvee_{1 \leq k \leq n} \max(s_k, t_k) = 1 \Rightarrow \max(F(s), F(t)) = 1. \quad (5)$$

**Example 7** (cf. J. Drewniak, U. Dudziak<sup>15</sup>). Let  $B$  be a fuzzy disjunction without zero divisors (e.g. a strict  $t$ -conorm). Examples of functions fulfilling (5) for all  $s, t \in [0, 1]^n$  are  $F = \max$ ,  $F = \text{med}$  or functions  $F$  with the zero element  $z = 1$  with respect to a certain coordinate, i.e.

$$\exists_{1 \leq k \leq n} \bigvee_{i \neq k} \bigvee_{t_i \in [0, 1]} F(t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}, 1, t_{k+1}, \dots, t_n) = 1.$$

The dual property for (4) have the form

$$\bigvee_{t \in [0, 1]^n} \text{card}\{k : t_k = 1\} > \frac{n}{2} \Rightarrow F(t) = 1.$$

---

<sup>15</sup>J. Drewniak, U. Dudziak, Preservation of properties of fuzzy relations during aggregation processes, *Kybernetika*, 43(2):115–132, 2007



**Theorem 5** (cf. J. Drewniak, U. Dudziak<sup>16</sup>). *Let card  $X \geq 3$ ,  $B$  has a zero element  $z = 0$ . If a function  $F: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  preserves  $B$ -transitivity, then it dominates  $B$  ( $F \gg B$ ), it means that for all  $(s_1, \dots, s_n), (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in [0, 1]^n$*

$$F(B(s_1, t_1), \dots, B(s_n, t_n)) \geq B(F(s_1, \dots, s_n), F(t_1, \dots, t_n)).$$

**Theorem 6** (cf. J. Drewniak, U. Dudziak<sup>16</sup>). *If a function  $F: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , which is increasing in each of its arguments fulfils  $F \gg B$ , then it preserves  $B$ -transitivity.*

**Example 8** (cf. S. Saminger et al.<sup>17</sup>). Minimum dominates any fuzzy conjunction  $C$ . Each quasi-linear mean dominates  $T_D$ . Moreover, for  $n = 2$  arbitrary t-norm  $F = T$  dominates  $T_D$ . The minimum, the weighted minimum and the projections dominate min. The weighted geometric mean preserves  $T_P$ -transitivity, the weighted arithmetic mean preserves  $T_L$ -transitivity, the minimum preserves  $T$ -transitivity with arbitrary t-norm  $T$ . The function  $F$  described by the formula (1) preserves  $T_L$ -transitivity.

---

<sup>16</sup>J. Drewniak, U. Dudziak, Preservation of properties of fuzzy relations during aggregation processes, *Kybernetika*, 43(2):115–132, 2007

<sup>17</sup>S. Saminger, R. Mesiar and U. Bodenhofer, Domination of aggregation operators and preservation of transitivity, *Internat. J. Uncertain., Fuzziness, Knowl.-Based Syst.*, 10(Suppl.):11–35, 2002

**Theorem 7.** *Let card  $X \geq 3$ ,  $B$  has a zero element  $z = 1$ . An increasing in each of its arguments function  $F: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  preserves negative  $B$ -transitivity if and only if  $B \gg F$ , it means that for any  $(s_1, \dots, s_n), (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in [0, 1]^n$  the following inequality holds*

$$B(F(s_1, \dots, s_n), F(t_1, \dots, t_n)) \geq F(B(s_1, t_1), \dots, B(s_n, t_n)). \quad (6)$$

**Example 9.** The maximum preserves negative  $D$ -transitivity for any disjunction  $D$ . The weighted maximum preserves negative  $S$ -transitivity for any  $t$ -conorm  $S$ . The weighted arithmetic mean and the functions of the form (2) preserve negative  $S_L$ -transitivity. Moreover, negative  $S_M$ -transitivity (i.e. negative transitivity) is preserved if and only if a function  $F$  is of the form

$$F(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \max(f_1(t_1), \dots, f_n(t_n)),$$

for each  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in [0, 1]$  and  $f_k: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  being increasing with  $k = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Theorem 8.** *If a function  $F: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , which is increasing in each of its arguments fulfils  $F \gg B_1$  and  $B_2 \gg F$ , then it preserves  $B_1$ - $B_2$ -Ferrers property.*

**Theorem 9.** *If a function  $F: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , which is increasing in each of its arguments fulfils  $F \gg B_1$  and  $B_2 \gg F$ , then it preserves  $B_1$ - $B_2$ -semitransitivity.*

**Example 10.** The weighted arithmetic mean preserve  $B_1$ - $B_2$ -Ferrers property and  $B_1$ - $B_2$ -semitransitivity for  $t$ -norm  $T_L = B_1$  and  $t$ -conorm  $S_L = B_2$ .

**Example 11.** Conditions given in Theorems 8 and 9 are only the sufficient ones. Let us consider function  $F(s, t) = st$  (so  $F = T_P$ ) and fuzzy relations presented by the matrices

$$R_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad R_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Relations  $R_1, R_2$  are min-max-Ferrers (J. Fodor, M. Roubens<sup>18</sup>) and min-max-semitransitive. Moreover  $R = F(R_1, R_2)$  is both min-max-Ferrers and min-max-semitransitive, where  $R \equiv 0$ . However, it is not true that  $F \gg \min$  (the only  $t$ -norm that dominates minimum is minimum itself).

---

<sup>18</sup>J. Fodor, M. Roubens, *Fuzzy Preference Modelling and Multicriteria Decision Support*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1994